

**To:** Berkoff, Michael[berkoff.michael@epa.gov]  
**Cc:** cshannonhofmann@nisource.com[cshannonhofmann@nisource.com];  
JWFlegel@NiSource.com[JWFlegel@NiSource.com]; jferry@nisource.com[jferry@nisource.com]  
**From:** SOrmsby@nisource.com  
**Sent:** Mon 4/18/2016 2:03:36 PM  
**Subject:** East Chicago Clean Up

;Mr. Berkoff,

This email is a follow up to our phone conversation that we had last week in regards to the Superfund Site located in East Chicago Indiana. As we understand, USEPA is in the process of starting their sampling of this area. From time to time we have gas and electric operations that conduct field work in this area. When work is to be done that involves disturbing the soils, a contractor is called in to excavate the surrounding soils. Any soils that are not returned to the excavation are containerized and sampled for proper disposal. As of date we have only had one sample that has failed TCLP of Lead (D008). Fortunately, we generated under 220 lb's and did not have to notify as a Small Quantity Generator (SQG) of Hazardous Waste for that job. My questions is if when you go to remove the soils from this project and it fails the TCLP for metals, under what EPA ID Number will you be manifesting this waste stream under? My question revolves around if we have another hot hit on contaminated soils under what EPA ID Number should we manage and manifest the contaminated soils off under? Each project is listed under that property address. I don't think that USEPA would want us to obtain a EPA ID Number for each property address for these residences. Please let me know your thoughts at your earliest convenience.

Thank you,

Scott Ormsby  
NIPSCO  
Environmental Coordinator III  
219/286-5677